inventors. It is believed that the appended substitute affidavit overcomes those defects.

All the inventors have now signed the 131 Affidavit, which is identical to the original affidavit with the exception that a new paragraph 12 has been added, directed to the completion of the invention in this country, and proper nouns were substituted for pronouns.

Therefore, it is believed that this response complies with the requirements of the last office action and places the case in condition for allowance by removing the principal reference. Therefore, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Applicant wishes to state for the record that the Applicant does not agree with the Examiner's stated conclusion of obviousness, but Applicant sees no reason to argue the obviousness issue further in view of the removal of the principal reference by the substitute affidavit.

Respectfully submitted,

6-9-94

Date of Signature

Frank H Foster Reg. No. 24,560

KREMBLAS, FOSTER & MILLARD 7632 Slate Ridge Blvd. Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068

614/575-2100

Our File No.: GUI 108

Enclosures: Substitute Rule 131 Affidavit

and Exhibits

Return receipt postcard

KREMBLAS,
FOSTER & MILLARD
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS
AND COPYRIGHTS
7632 SLATE RIDGE BLVD.
REYNOLDSBURG, OH 43068

(614) 575-2100